



- Kinetics exp'ts aim to find out: HOW rxn WORKS
- A chemical equation = summary of overall (NET) process
  → only sometimes matches actual steps involved...



A Rxn's Mechanism = the series of elementary steps leading from reactants to products "Elementary steps" = <u>one-step</u> molecular events

...*e.g.,* all species collide simultaneously & react

**Molecularity** = # of molecules involved in elementary step



**1** step (mechanism known) ⇒ rate law matches stoichiometry:

Elementary step		Molecularity	Rate law
Α	ightarrow products	<b>uni</b> molecular	Rate = k [A]
A + B	$\rightarrow$ products	<b>bi</b> molecular	Rate = k [A][B]
2A	$\rightarrow$ products	<b>bi</b> molecular	Rate = k [A] <sup>2</sup>
2A + I	$3 \rightarrow \text{products}$	<b>ter</b> molecular	Rate = k [A] <sup>2</sup> [B]

(3)

(4)

**Mechanistically complex rxns:** MANY STEPS A known multi-step rxn:  $2 O_3(g) \rightarrow 3 O_2(g)$ "decomposition" of ozone (but not unimolecular...)

EXPERIMENTALLY DETERMINED MECHANISM:

step 1:  $O_3 \rightarrow O_2 + O$ step 2:  $O + O_3 \rightarrow 2O_2$ NET RXN:  $2O_3 \rightarrow 3O_2$ 

Can write the rate law for each elementary step...but...

The net process cannot be faster than its <u>SLOWEST STEP</u>

= RATE-LIMITING OR RATE-DETERMINING STEP

NOTE: in Chem 206, normally you will be told which step is slower *OR* you'll be given the experimentally observed rate law & then asked to deduce which step is slower



## Rate-limiting step determines overall rate law...

#### • If a later step is SLOWEST:

- $\rightarrow$  more complicated analysis required
- $\rightarrow$  to predict overall rate law:
  - write rate law of RLS
  - will find that [intermediate]'s are involved
  - must figure out how to express these in terms of original reactant species only
- ...because: 1.) we do not control [intermediates]
  - 2.) [intermediates] usually <u>unmeasurably</u> small

#### IN GENERAL:

- Steps after RLS are "fast" (relatively!)
  - $\therefore$  DO NOT affect overall rate  $\Rightarrow$  not included in analysis...
- Steps before RLS must be considered since they'll allow us to express [intermediate]'s in terms of reactant species



...Step before RLS is a "fast pre-equilibrium" Intermediates form & unform at same rate ⇒ [int.] ≈ constant To deal with fast pre-eqm:  $k_1$  [NH<sub>3</sub>][OCl<sup>-</sup>] =  $k_1$  [NH<sub>2</sub>Cl][OH<sup>-</sup>] 1) Set form/unform rates equal  $\Rightarrow [\mathsf{NH}_2\mathsf{CI}] = \underline{k}_1 [\mathsf{NH}_3][\mathsf{OCI}^-] \\ k_2 [\mathsf{OH}^-]$ 2) Solve for [int.] 3) Sub into RLS's rate law  $\Rightarrow$  Rate(2) =  $\underline{k}_2 \underline{k}_1 [NH_3][OCI^-][NH_3]$ 4) Simplify *k*\_1 [OH-] 5) Note effective rate constant Rate =  $k_{eff}$  [NH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2</sup>[OCl<sup>-</sup>] ⇒ predicted rate law for rxn [OH-] If derived from a proposed mechanism: · compare to exp'tally observed rate law Implication: If matches this proposed rate law: rxn inhibited if • mechanism "consistent" with experiment solution is basic

### Evaluating a proposed reaction mechanism CRITERIA: 1.) sum of steps = correct stoichiometry 2.) R.L.S. gives observed exp'tal rate law A redox example: $H_2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2I^- \rightarrow I_2 + 2H_2O_2$ **Proposed mechanism:** Step 1: $H_2O_2 + I^- \rightarrow H_2O + OI^-$ SLOW $H^+ + OI^- \rightarrow HOI$ FAST Step 2: Step 3: HOI + $H^+$ + $I^- \rightarrow I_2$ + $H_2O$ FAST 1.) mechanism's overall rxn? 2.) any intermediates? 3.) molecularity of each step? 4.) mechanism's RLS? 5.) mechanism's rate law?

- 6.) If the rxn is observed to be  $1^{st}$  order in  $H^{+}$ ,
- (9) is this proposed mechanism reasonable?

## Using kinetics data: evaluating proposed reaction mechanisms

**PROPOSING RXN MECHANISMS:** (later in your career)

- After determining exptal rate law...
- Use "chemical intuition" to guess at reasonable steps
- Estimate rates of proposed steps (which is RLS?)

EVALUATING RXN MECHANISMS: can do it already!

- A possible proposed mechanism **must** have:
  - 1.) sum of steps = correct stoichiometric eqn for rxn
  - 2.) R.L.S. that would give observed exp'tal rate law
- If criteria met: mechanism "consistent" with expt

"PROVING" A MECHANISM: (via lots of experiments!)

- $\rightarrow$  requires careful expts designed to DISPROVE it!
- $\rightarrow$  can never *really* prove a mechanism...
- (10)

Extra Ex.2: Complex scenario: K&T Ch.15 #27-63-82 hybrid

Consider the rxn:  $CO(g) + NO_2(g) \rightarrow CO_2(g) + NO(g)$ Use the kinetics data to evaluate the proposed mechanisms.

Mechanism #1:

Single step:  $NO_2 + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + NO$ 

Mechanism #2:

Mechanism #3:

Step 1: $NO_2 + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3 + NO$ Step 2: $NO_3 + CO \rightarrow NO_2 + CO_2$ NET: $NO_2 + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + NO$ 

Step 1:  $NO_2 \rightarrow NO + O$ Step 2:  $CO + O \rightarrow CO_2$ NET:  $NO_2 + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + NO$ 

At a certain temperature > 500K:

RUN	INITIAL CONC.	REACTANT (mol·L <sup>-1</sup> )	INITIAL RATE	ANALYSIS: At this T
	[CO]	[NO <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>0</sub>	mol·L <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	$[NO_2] \div 2 \Rightarrow rate \div 2$ $M \Rightarrow 1^{st} order wrt NO_2$
1	5.0x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.36x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3.4×10 <sup>-8</sup>	(0) $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$ $(0)$
2	5.0x10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.18×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.7x10 <sup>-8</sup>	$\Rightarrow 1^{st} \text{ order } wrt CO$
3	1.0x10-3	0.36x10-4	6.8×10 <sup>-8</sup> ·	$\Rightarrow$ rate = $k_{obs}$ [NO <sub>2</sub> ][CO]

 $CO + NO_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + NO$ At T>500 K: rate =  $k_{abs}$  [NO<sub>2</sub>][CO] Mechanism #1: Mechanism #3: Step 1:  $NO_2 \rightarrow NO + O$ 1 step:  $NO_2 + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + NO$ Step 2:  $CO + O \rightarrow CO_2$ Predict: rate =  $k [NO_2][CO] | \sqrt{}$ Predict: Mechanism #2: If step 1 "slow": Step 1:  $NO_2 + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3 + NO$ Step 2:  $NO_3 + CO \rightarrow NO_2 + CO_2$ rate =  $k_1$  [NO<sub>2</sub>] |X| If step 2 "slow": **Predict**: Note: at RT, rate =  $k_2$ [CO][O] If step 1 "slow": exp'tally observed assume  $rate_1 = rate_{-1}$ rate =  $k_1 [NO_2]^2$  X rate =  $k_{obs}[NO_2]^2$  $k_1$  [NO<sub>2</sub>] =  $k_1$  [NO][O] • If step 2 "slow": consistent with  $[O] = \underline{k_1 [NO_2]}$ rate =  $k_2[NO_3][CO]$ mechanism 2  $k_{1}$  [NO] assume  $rate_1 = rate_{-1}$ MEANING: rate =  $k_2$ [CO]  $\underline{k_1}$ [NO<sub>2</sub>]  $k_1 [NO_2]^2 = k_1 [NO_3][NO]$ Different *k*<sub>-1</sub> [NO] mechanism at  $[NO_3] = \frac{k_1 [NO_2]^2}{2}$ higher temp.! = k<sub>obs</sub> [CO][NO<sub>2</sub>] *k*<sub>1</sub> [NO] [NO] rate =  $k_2$  [CO]  $\underline{k_1}$  [NO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2</sup> =  $k_{obs}$  [CO][NO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2</sup> Rxn inhibited  $\checkmark$ by product. *k*<sub>1</sub> [NO] [NO] (16)



Mechanism #1: CONSISTENT 1 step:  $NO_2 + CO \rightarrow CO_2 + NO$ Predict: rate =  $k [NO_2][CO]$ 

Mechanism #2: CONSISTENT <u>AT LOW T</u> Step 1:  $NO_2 + NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3 + NO$ Step 2:  $NO_3 + CO \rightarrow NO_2 + CO_2$ Predict: • If step 1 "slow": rate =  $k_1 [NO_2]^2$ Note: at RT, exp'tally observed rate =  $k_{obs}[NO_2]^2$  $\rightarrow$  consistent with mechanism 2

MEANING: Different mechanism at higher temp.!

(13)

Mechanism #3: CONSISTENT Step 1:  $NO_2 \rightarrow NO + O$ Step 2:  $CO + O \rightarrow CO_2$ Predict:

 If step 2 "slow": rate = k<sub>2</sub>[CO][O]
 k [CO][NO<sub>2</sub>]

$$= k_{obs} \frac{[CO][NO_2]}{[NO]}$$

Rxn inhibited —

Would have to investigate further to rule out this mechanism.

NOTE: we can never say for sure that our mechanism is "correct", just consistent with experimental data.

# ASSIGNED READINGS:

**BEFORE NEXT CLASS:** 

Read: Ch.15 (all)

+ WORK ON Problems from Ch.15

MIDTERM EXAM: Fri. Feb. 29th covers: Ch. 6 (all), 9.8, 19.1-6, 13.1-5, 14 (all), 15 (all) practice general Qs, & midterms on website

(14)